

Wednesday 2nd March 2022 AITPM Online Webinar – Travel and Living with COVID

Travel and Living with COVID Monash PTRG Research Update

Prof Graham Currie FTSE
Public Transport Research Group
Monash Institute of Transport Studies
Monash University, Australia





MONASH INSTITUTE OF TRANSPORT STUDIES





Introduction

Behavior Shifts


Renorming Work from Home

Transit Ridership Trajectories

Transit Ridership Recovery



This presentation updates PTRG research to understand the long term impacts of COVID-19 on travel in cities










Introduction

Behavior Shifts

Renorming Work from Home

Transit Ridership Trajectories

Transit Ridership Recovery



There are four KEY new travel behaviours which will affect POST-COVID travel


- 1. Commute Trip REDUCTIONS due to increased WORK FROM HOME
- 2. MODE SHIFT from Transit to Car Driving due to INFECTION FEAR
- 3. SPATIAL Variations in the Above
- 4. SOCIO-ECONOMIC Variations in the Above






POST COVID total work travel declines by 6% - mainly due to increased Work from Home (WFH) – the scale of shift is small (6%) because WFH is small as a share of work


1. Commute Trip REDUCTIONS - due to increased WORK FROM HOME







Changes in Work from Home; Pre-Covid=100%




Source: Currie G, Jain T and Aston L (2021) "Evidence of a Post-COVID Change in Travel Behaviour - Self-Reported Expectations of Commuting in Melbourne" Transportation Research Part A Volume 153, November 2021, Pages 218-234






POST COVID work travel has a mode shift from transit to car-drive – this is caused by 'residual infection fear' related to Crowding concerns; new user priorities


2. MODE SHIFT from Transit to Car Driving – due to INFECTION FEAR



Work from Home is MUCH more common for CBD workers; whos WFH is expected to more than double (+123%) compared to pre-covid, much higher than for Melb as a whole (+75%)

3. SPATIAL Variations in COVID Behaviours






Source: Currie G, Jain T and Aston L (2021) "Evidence of a Post-COVID Change in Travel Behaviour - Self-Reported Expectations of Commuting in Melbourne" Transportation Research Part A Volume 153, November 2021, Pages 218-234






Respondents say CBD COMMUTE will reduce more than the rest of Melbourne; Post Pandemic a 20% decline in CBD COMMUTE is self estimated - much larger than for Melbourne as a whole (6%)

3. SPATIAL Variations in COVID Behaviours






Source: Currie G, Jain T and Aston L (2021) "Evidence of a Post-COVID Change in Travel Behaviour - Self-Reported Expectations of Commuting in Melbourne" Transportation Research Part A Volume 153, November 2021, Pages 218-234





Infection Fear is Gender Biased. Work from Home shifts are larger for White Collar workers and High Income Groups

4. SOCIO-ECONOMIC Variations in COVID Behaviours



Socio-Economic Patterns of COVID Behavior Change

- ▶ Female respondents demonstrated slightly higher post pandemic commute reductions than male respondents
- ▶ Income was found to have significant variations in post pandemic commute volume (Kruskal Wallis Test, H (7) = 48.328, P=0.000).
 - In general higher income groups self-report significantly higher reductions in commuting postpandemic compared to their commuting before COVID
 - income '\$1,870-\$3,200'; -22.6% and income '\$3,200 or more'; -23.9%).
 - Lower income groups (<\$1,870) between -0.36% and -3.5% for cohorts with larger samples).
- ▶ We also found a statistically significant difference in post pandemic commuter reductions for white collar workers (Mann Whitney U test. U=62846. P=0.000).
 - White collar workers had an average -12.5% reduction in commute volume after the pandemic while
 - other workers had an average of -2.8%.








Introduction

Behavior Shifts

Renorming Work from Home


Transit Ridership Trajectories

Transit Ridership Recovery



Travel behaviour changes in different ways; often termed the "R"s – including RENORMING

Travel Adaptions Associated with Disruptive Events



Based on Marsden, G, Anable, J, Chatterton, T, Docherty, I, Faulconbridge, J, Murray, L, Roby, H & Shires, J 2020, 'Studying disruptive events: Innovations in behaviour, opportunities for lower carbon transport policy?', *Transport Policy*






We are exploring long term impacts of C-19 on travel using a behaviour change model called the Trans Theoretical Model (TTM)

The Trans Theoretical Model of Behaviour Change

Preparation



Contemplation 'I was considering changing' Example process of change factors: Social liberation Self-efficacy increasing Decisional balance Pros ≤ Cons


'I was preparing to change' Example process of change factors: Social liberation Self-efficacy increasing Decisional balance Pros ≥ Cons

Action	1	Maintenance	
'I had tried changing'		'I did change'	
	Ш		
Example process of change factors: Stimulus control Helping relationships		Example process of change factors: Helping relationships Social liberation	
Self-efficacy rapid increase		Self-efficacy peaks	
Decisional balance Pros > Cons		Decisional balance Pros > Cons	





TTM was used to explore long term travel impacts of the London 2012 Olympic travel demand management program – will it work for COVID-19?




Source: Parkes, S. D., Jopson, A. and Marsden, G. (2016). "Understanding travel behaviour change during megaevents: Lessons from the London 2012 Games." Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice 92: 104-119






Results show WFH behaviour change was higher both short and long term with Contemplation/Preparation; confirm TTM theory applies to COVID-19




The implication is that WFH behaviour shifts are bigger for COVID-19 and most shifts occur without intent – suggesting a RENORMING of behaviour





Prior Intent for Those Changing Behavior







This hypothesis matches our findings on WFH post COVID using the Theory of Planned Behaviour – Norms are very influential

Theory of Planned Behaviour

Advantages and Disadvantages Attitudes What family/friends Subjective Behaviour Intention expect/support? Norm What employers support? Perceived How easy/difficult is Behavioural it to engage in Control the behaviour? (Aizen, 1991)

Post COVID-19 WFH Intention




Fig. 5a. Group A SEM Output - for respondent who did not WFH pre-COVID (n = 986).

Source: Jain T Currie G and Aston L (2022) "COVID and Working from Home: Long-term Impacts and Psycho-social Determinants" TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH PART A Volume 156, February 2022, Pages 52-68







Introduction

Behavior Shifts

Renorming Work from Home

Transit Ridership Trajectories

Transit Ridership Recovery




We are researching mobility trajectories of pre-COVID PT users during and also Post COVID to understand the prospects for market futures

Inclusion criteria

- ▶ Working pre- & post-COVID:
 - Full time,
 - Part time, or
 - Casual
- ▶ PT user pre-COVID

Travel changes by pre- and post-COVID workers who commute by PT pre-COVID








Currently; most of the pre-Covid PT market are WFH (49%), Not working (15%) or driving (9%); 22% are still using PT

Travel changes by pre- and post-COVID workers who commute by PT pre-COVID











Post-COVID the Mkt will grow from 22% (now) to 75% pre Covid levels – Expected future ridership is currently WFH (48%) using PT (26%) out of work (15%) or using other modes

Travel changes by pre- and post-COVID workers who commute by PT pre-COVID



What is the Future PT Market Doing Now During COVID-19






Another Perspective – Post COVID we lose ~25% of our pre-Covid PT market; 58% will drive, 19% WFH; the rest using other modes

Travel changes by pre- and post-COVID workers who commute by PT pre-COVID












Introduction

Behavior Shifts


Renorming Work from Home

Transit Ridership Trajectories

Transit Ridership Recovery



City Transit ridership fell, recovered then slightly declined from early 2020; from 2021 a slow recovery is underway



Source: Data courtesy of UITP; cities include Vienna, Oslo, London, Montreal, Madrid, Auckland. Pilsen, New York, Kayseri, Barcelona, Berlin, Vancouver, Chicago, Ottawa, Stockholm, Jersey City, Dijon, Warsaw, Reenes, Toronto





London/New York – Poor Pandemic Containment Cities who Rely on Vaccination – have underperformed but are in a recovery trend




Source: Data courtesy of UITP





Auckland – A Strong 'Lockdown and Eradicate' City – has better performance during eradication; and poor during lockdown – but is also on a recovery path




Source: Data courtesy of UITP





Sydney/Melbourne – also Lockdown/Eradicate – have a generally similar performance



Source: Data courtesy of UITP





Monash COVID-19 Research has been made OPEN ACCESS; free to assist industry

- Published papers in top journals:
 - Currie G, Jain T and Aston L (2021) "<u>Evidence of a Post-COVID Change in Travel Behaviour Self-Reported Expectations of Commuting in Melbourne</u>"
 Transportation Research Part A Volume 153, November 2021, Pages 218-234
 - Jain T Currie G and Aston L (2022) "COVID and Working from Home: Long-term Impacts and Psychosocial Determinants" Transportation Research Part A Volume 156, February 2022, Pages 52-68
- PTRG Monash website for COVID-19 Travel Research:



http://publictransportresearchgroup.info/portfolio-item/covid-19-long-terms-impacts/







Please reach out for more information



graham.currie@monash.ed

Connect with us on **Linked in**



