

Wednesday 19<sup>th</sup> July 2023 Urban Rail 2023 Grand Hyatt, Melbourne

### A Global Review of Suburban Ring Railways to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project

Prof Graham Currie FTSE Public Transport Research Group Monash Institute of Transport Studies Monash University, Australia







Introduction

Approach

**Research Context** 

**Comparative Performance** 

Discussion



### This presentation outlines the results of a comparative review of suburban loop metros to inform the Melbourne SRL project

- Research aim
  - to understand SRL planned performance vs other loop metro actual performance
- Monash research
  - Student undergraduate project
  - Published ATRF 2023; November Perth WA

Fernando A and Currie G (2020) "A Global Review of Suburban Ring Railways to Inform the Melbourne" Department of Civil Engineering Final Year Research Report Monash University



Fernando A and Currie G (In Press) "A **Comparative International Review of Suburban** Ring/Loop Metros to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project" Australasian **Transport Research Forum 2023 Proceedings** 29 November – 1 December, Perth, Australia





- 1 December, Perth, Aust A Comparative International Review of Suburban Ring/Loop Metros to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project Aaron Fernando<sup>1</sup>, Graham Currie<sup>7</sup> A Department of Civil Engineering, Monash University, Australia <sup>2</sup> Public Transport Research Group, Monash Unitersity, Australia Email for correspondence (presenting author): graham.currie@monash.edu 10 11 This paper explores the case for the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop (SRL), Australias largest when transmost surgicate it reviews with the valuance the interviews and communes the merofermance Inspaper explores the case for the Melbourne Suburban Kail Loop (SKL), distinguas largest urban transport project. If reviews available research literature and compares the performance of the SRI seminaterimities rise on loop Metro systems internationally. The research literature 13 urban transport project. It reviews available research literature and compares the performance of the SRL against innular ring or loop Metro systems internationally. The research literature is quite limited in this field largely because ring transit systems of this scale are not very common Neverthales, there annear to be merits in terms of metwork structure for ring. quite initiate in this relia targety occurs ring transit systems of this scale are not very ommon. Nevertheless, there appear to be merits in ferms of network structure for time/loop advances through these would vary much densed on the wole of once corridor time that common. Neverneses, these appear to be marine in terms of network structure for impriva-metro systems though these would very much depend on the scale of cross corridor trip be-way to be a structure of the scale of cross corridor trip to achieve the metro systems though these would very much depend on the scale or cross cornors tup takes are better served by them. Ring loop metro systems also appear to have merit in enhancing man. (RR) devaluances which is a major estimate for RDI through moment of the mexicous are better served by them. King loop metro systems also appear to have merit in enhancing non-CBD development which is a major rationale for SRL though none of the previous research research conclusive envidence this will actually harman Fundance on the travel internon-CBD development which is a major rationale for SRL though none of the previous research presents conclusive evidence this will actually happen. Evidence on the travel time competitiveness of the SRL is outstanding compared to orbital SmattBus routes and in 20 21 The ring/loop metro comparative performance analysis looks at 8 existing systems and finds the SRL is: 24 2 26 27 it will cover a larger spatial area 28 a, we cover a sarger spann disc. it will operate in the lowest current population density 29 it will have low end ridership/route kn 30 it will operate in the lowest rail mode share context 31 it will operate in the lowest rail mode share context it will operate with stations substantially further away from the city centre it will operate with stations and the state of the stat 32 it will have the longest station to station distances; but on the positive side; it will have it will have the longest station to station distances; but on the positive side; it will have the high-positive succession succession succession. 33 Implication for research and practice are discussed

### This presentation outlines comparative data and my views









Introduction

### Approach

**Research Context** 

**Comparative Performance** 

Discussion



The research explored SRL/Loop Metro published evidence and comparative data on project performance

- The research explored:
  - Melbourne SRL purpose and performance
  - Published literature on loop metro impacts
    - Design/rationale
    - Improved connectivity/travel; path directness
    - Increased ridership and decreased auto use
  - Collate actual data on loop metro performance
    - Loop line length and spatial scale of city
    - Ridership rates per route km vs population density
    - Daily loop ridership by urban rail ridership mode share
    - Average station-station distances vs average speed
    - Average station to station travel time by average station distance from the city.
  - Summary and personal views on the SRL project





### We researched SRL vs 8 existing loop metros using available data

- Compares: SRL vs global loop railways
  - Shanghai Metro Line 4 (China)
  - Moscow Central Line (Russia)
  - Berlin Ringbahn (Germany)
  - London Circle Line (United Kingdom)
  - Circle MRT Line (Singapore)
  - Beijing Line 10 (China)
  - Seoul Subway Loop 2 (South Korea)
  - Yamanote Line (Tokyo, Japan)







### Comparator metros are all loops around a central core much like SRL









Introduction

Approach

**Research Context** 

**Comparative Performance** 

Discussion



# SRL is a ~90km circular metro project costing ~\$125B; stage 1 opens 2035; rest 2085

- 90kms underground circular metro
- Driverless trains/ platform doors (Singapore/ Victoria Line like)
- Stage 1 commenced target completion 2035; cost \$36.5B
- Targets:
  - Connect 4 NEICs
  - Rapid population growth
  - Monocentric (CBD) urban form constraints
  - Refocus population growth in middle not inner/outer suburbs
  - Inequitable access to jobs/services
- BCR 1 to 1.7







# There is little research on loop rationale; SRL has strong polycentric city rationale; research supports this but in denser inner areas closer to CBD's Research Literature – Loop Metro Design and Rationale

- Melbourne SRL
  - city-shaping/ urban planning objectives polycentric city would require large-scale public transport connectivity to intensify activity around National Employment Innovation Clusters (NEICs) (Buxton, 2018).
  - Spiller emphasises that the SRL should aim to effectively redistribute jobs and housing to enable sustainable growth (Spiller, 2019).
- Other Loop Metro Research
  - Not much research
  - Saidi et al. (2016) review the optimal location and radius of circumferential rail lines and applies this to the City of Calgary Canada, generating an optimal ring loop of 6-9km away from the CBD (in comparison the SRL is broadly 15k-16km from the CBD).
  - Another study Saidi et al. (2014) on suburban ring lines highlighted the prominent trend of higher ridership in European and Asian cities compared to North American cities due to stronger rail links in suburban contexts.





## Loops enhance rail coverage with evidence of stronger network performance compared to radial networks

### **Research Literature – Improved Connectivity and Travel Path Directness**

- Other Loop Metro Research
  - Circumferential rail loops = stronger connectivity through a city's network by enabling circular access around a major city hub.
  - Multiple studies have indicated that radial-based networks leading directly into a city centre cause concentrated passenger load in the city centre due to lack of rail lines orbiting circularly through surrounding suburbs (Saidi et al., 2016, Laporte et al., 1997). This is particularly evident in cities with monocentric rail transit behaviours, such as Melbourne.
  - Saidi et al., 2014) note a global rail network trends towards the development of ring line networks, where
    polycentric cities are often serviced by rail networks interconnected by a ring-based transit.
  - Modelling by Laporte et al. (1997) suggests that stronger connectivity and path directness is achieved using "cartwheel" networks rather than traditional radial networks ("hub-and-spoke").
  - Derrible and Kennedy (2009) model ridership for underground-only stations around the world They found statistically significant explanatory variables were network coverage, directness and connectivity. With Melbourne's monocentric travel patterns resulting in major transfer activity in CBD stations (Flinders Street, Melbourne Central, Southern Cross), integrating a circumferential rail loop to produce a rail network with multiple transfer points may prove beneficial





# Research supports higher ridership with high coverage diurect and better connected rail networks support the SRL rationale

### **Research Literature – Increased Ridership Decreased Auto Use**

- Other Loop Metro Research
  - Rail system ridership is strongly correlated with coverage, directness, and connectivity (Derrible and Kennedy, 2009), resulting in a faster/efficient route.
    - Orbital rail lines aim to decrease travel times of various routes by improving these three variables.
  - The low public transport usage for Melbourne inter-suburbs trips (bus network) may be caused by high travel-times of bus routes in comparison to private vehicle travel.
  - Loader 2011 identifies growing residential suburbs exhibiting low public transport usage when travelling to suburban employment clusters (typically ranging between 1%-5%)
    - Comparing these metrics with PT-share to inner-city employment hubs (57%-73%) indicate the effects of travel-cost and time savings offered by a rail line to the city (petrol cost, road tolls, parking fees, congestion). The SRL may not reduce travel-cost savings to the magnitude of inner-city travel but may provide significant public transport speeds to the suburban network.
  - To contextualise this, Figure shows some simple average travel speed calculations of the planned SRL









Introduction

Approach

**Research Context** 

**Comparative Performance** 

Discussion



# The research explored SRL/Loop Metro published evidence and comparative data on project performance

- Areas explored
  - Loop line length and spatial scale of city
  - Ridership rates per route km vs population density
  - Daily loop ridership by urban rail ridership mode share
  - Average station-station distances vs average speed
  - Average station to station travel time by average station distance from the city.







### SRL will be the longest loop metro for the largest city area in the world by far



#### Loop Metro Length vs City Area Size

Source: Fernando A and Currie G (In Press) "A Comparative International Review of Suburban Ring/Loop Metros to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project" Australasian Transport Research Forum 2023 Proceedings 29 November – 1 December, Perth, Australia





# SRL will be the lowest ridership/km loop metro operating in the lowest density city context



#### Est Daily Ridership/km vs Population Density

Source: Fernando A and Currie G (In Press) "A Comparative International Review of Suburban Ring/Loop Metros to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project" Australasian Transport Research Forum 2023 Proceedings 29 November – 1 December, Perth, Australia





# SRL will have low loop metro ridership/day operating at the lowest rail transit mode share



#### **Est Daily Ridership vs Rail Transit Share**

Source: Fernando A and Currie G (In Press) "A Comparative International Review of Suburban Ring/Loop Metros to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project" Australasian Transport Research Forum 2023 Proceedings 29 November – 1 December, Perth, Australia





# SRL has the longest station-station distances and is the fastest loop metro in the world



#### Average Station to Station Distances vs Average Speed

Source: Fernando A and Currie G (In Press) "A Comparative International Review of Suburban Ring/Loop Metros to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project" Australasian Transport Research Forum 2023 Proceedings 29 November – 1 December, Perth, Australia





SRL has the longest station-station distances and stations are located further from the CBD than any loop metro in the world



#### Average Station to Station Distances vs Station Distance from CBD

Source: Fernando A and Currie G (In Press) "A Comparative International Review of Suburban Ring/Loop Metros to Inform the Melbourne Suburban Rail Loop Project" Australasian Transport Research Forum 2023 Proceedings 29 November – 1 December, Perth, Australia





We conclude SRL is the largest loop; operating in the most challenging ridership conditions but at the highest speeds

Key conclusions of the comparative analysis: Melbourne SRL is:

- by far the longest ring;
- it will cover a larger spatial area;
- it will operate in the lowest current population density
- it will have low end ridership/route km
- it will operate in the lowest rail mode share context
- it will operate with stations substantially further away from the city centre
- it will have the longest station to station distances; but on the positive side; it will have the highest average operating speed.









Introduction

Approach

**Research Context** 

**Comparative Performance** 

### Discussion



Melbourne has long had a significant cross corridor PT problem – SmartBus was the last solution tried to address this...







### ...alas SmartBus, despite priority measures is quite slow and uncompetitive with car

Route	SmartBus		Car	
	Travel Time (hrs, mins)	Speed (kph)	Travel Time (hrs, mins)	Speed (kph)
901: Frankston to Melbourne Airport. Length 113.6km.	4hrs	28kph	1hr 4min	71kph
902: Frankston to Melbourne Airport. Length 77.8km.	3hrs	26kph	1hr 4min	71kph
903: Frankston to Melbourne Airport. Length 85.6km.	3hr 50 min	23kph	1hr 4min	71kph

#### SmartBus vs Car







# Melbourne plans have always sought to decentralise; but have failed due to poor investment (in sustainable transport)





#### Map 14

#### Metropolitan and major activity centres

٠	Central city	 <ul> <li>Urban growth boundary</li> </ul>		Road network
	Metropolitan activity cantre	Urban area	++++++	Rail network
	Metropolitan activity centre - future	Growth area		Waterway
	Major activity centre	Green wedge land		Waterbody
	Major activity centre - future			Metropolitan Melbourne

# SRL aims to achieve this and link 4 of the new National Employment and Innovation Clusters



Metros are a significant quality upgrade above on-street bus...SRL is VISIONARY in this sense; its got great development potential but very high cost







# Its also very competitive with the car; something bus even SmartBus cannot achieve



Av. Speed (Kph)







However the development impacts will be .....MASSIVE; are residents ready for this? and what about FUTURE residents who will outnumber them?



**Clayton Railway Station** 

**Melbourne** 

Nagoya Railway Station Japan





But planning of SRL has been weak; its in no city or rail plan; its not good practice for city planning...



# ...also VAGO identified weaknesses in the business case; limited exploration of alternative mode options



FIGURE 2C: Assessment of SRL business case content				
Business case content areas	Assessment			
Problem definition and evidence	A			
Case for change (benefits)	Α			
Options assessment	R			
Economic analysis and presentation of results	R			
Delivery case	A			

Note: We have used a green (G), amber (A), red (R) scale, where:

G = no or minor departures from relevant guidance and/or expected processes

A = some departures from relevant guidance and/or expected processes

 $\mathbf{R}$  = significant departures from relevant guidance and/or expected processes.

Source: VAGO.

#### In summary:

- the high-level problems and benefits articulated in the SRL business case lacked necessary and sufficient supporting evidence
- a narrow set of options were considered and analysed both before and as part of the business case development
- the economic analysis does not cover the entire SRL program and lacks consistency with the guidance in key areas.



Overall I think this is a VISIONARY project, its an AMBITIOUS, STEP CHANGE idea with much potential to create desired change - BUT not good planning...



...and its the largest loop in the world; operating in the most challenging ridership conditions but at the highest speeds

Key conclusions of the comparative analysis: Melbourne SRL is:

- by far the longest ring;
- it will cover a larger spatial area;
- it will operate in the lowest current population density
- it will have low end ridership/route km
- it will operate in the lowest rail mode share context
- it will operate with stations substantially further away from the city centre
- it will have the longest station to station distances; but on the positive side; it will have the highest average operating speed.







#### Please reach out for more information



graham.currie@monash.edu





![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

twikker

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)